

## **REMARKS**

This Amendment is being submitted with a Request For Continued Examination (RCE) (filed herewith). Claim 28 is new. Support for claim 28 can be found in the Specification. No new matter has been added. Claims 15 to 21, and 28, are now pending in the present application.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of this amendment.

In an earlier Office Action, claims 15 to 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 5,534,913 to Majeti et al. ("Majeti reference") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,029,207 to Gammie ("Gammie reference").

The Majeti reference purportedly concerns an apparatus and method for integrating downstream data transfers over a cable television channel with upstream data carrier by other media. The Majeti reference discusses a split channel bridging unit having a router that operates under the control of a control processor to route packets of information destined for a user to a modulator connected to the cable distribution head-end of the cable television system. The Majeti reference refers to the modulator as encoding the digital information transmitted from the router and in an RF channel to be carried by the television cable; the cable distribution head-end combines the RF channel with other conventional cable television sources to broadcast these channels to its users. The Majeti reference further refers to a customer assuming that a user has an RF demodulator and packet receiver which demodulates the RF encoded signals and utilizes the packet receiver to transmit the digital information addressed to the particular user to the user's personal computer.

The Gammie reference purportedly concerns an external security module for a television signal decoder. The Gammie reference refers to a decoder for descrambling encoded satellite transmissions having an internal security element and a replaceable security module, where the program signal is scrambled with a key and then the key itself is twice-encrypted and multiplexed with the scrambled program signals. The Gammie reference further refers to the key being first encrypted with a first secret serial number which is assigned to a given replaceable security module; the key is then encrypted with a second secret serial which is assigned to a decoder. The partially decrypted key is then further decrypted by the replaceable security module using the first secret serial number stored within the replaceable security module. The

Gammie reference further states that the decoder then descrambles the program using the twice-decrypt key.

In contrast, claim 15 is directed to a decoder device for decrypting encrypted television programs, including, among other things, *a first interface for interfacing to at least one of a first identification and a first key carrier component for enabling the decryption device, the first interface being disposed in the control unit; a second interface for interfacing to the control unit; a third interface for interfacing to a telecommunications network; and a fourth interface for interfacing to at least one of a second identification and a second key carrier component, an authorization by at least one of the second identification and the second key carrier component being useable for establishing a connection to a subscriber via the telecommunications network.* Both the Majeti and Gammie references – alone or in combination – do not describe or suggest all of the features of claim 15 such as *the four interfaces claimed in the manner claimed.* Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 15 and its dependent claims 16 to 21 are allowable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the Majeti and Gammie references.

In summary, it is respectfully submitted that all of claims 15 to 21, and new claim 28, of the present application are allowable for the foregoing reasons and for the reasons stated in Applicants' earlier responses.

#### CONCLUSION

In view of all of the above and Applicants' earlier responses, it is believed that the previous rejections of the claims have been overcome, and that all pending claims 15 to 21, and 28, are allowable. It is therefore respectfully requested that the present application issue as early as possible.

If it would further allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the contact information given below.

Respectfully submitted,  
KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: June 5, 2006

By:

R. L. Mayer *R. L. Mayer*  
Richard L. Mayer (Reg. No. 22,490)

**CUSTOMER NO. 26646**

KENYON & KENYON LLP  
One Broadway  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 425-7200